

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|----------------------|--------------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|--|
| 09/828,539 | 04/04/2001 | Howard Preissman | 361722000201 | 9912 | |
| 75 | 90 03/01/2004 | | EXAM | INER | |
| FRANK P. BECKING | | | MILLER, CHERYL L | | |
| 200 MIDDLEF | TIELD & FRANCIS, LLP IELD ROAD | | ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER | | |
| SUITE 200 | | | 3738 | | |
| MENLO PARK, CA 94025 | | | DATE MAILED: 03/01/2004 | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| Advisory Action | 09/828,539 | PREISSMAN, HOWARD | | | |
| Advisory Action | Examiner | Art Unit | | | |
| | Cheryl Miller | 3738 | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence add | ress | | |
| THE REPLY FILED 08 January 2004 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appetexamination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. | ivoid abandonment of this applicable the subject of this applicable that it is applicable to the subject of this application and the subject of the subject | cation. A proper re ch places the appli | ply to a cation in | | |
| | EPLY [check either a) or b)] | | | | |
| a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adverse, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). | risory Action, or (2) the date set forth in th an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH | f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. S | See MPEP | | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dath have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exten 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three more arrived patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | sion and the corresponding amount of the d statutory period for reply originally set in onths after the mailing date of the final rejo | tee. The appropriate ex the final Office action; or ection, even if timely filed | tension fee under (2) as set forth in , may reduce any | | |
| 1. ☐ A Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>15 May 2003</u> . App 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF | R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal | n the period set for of the appeal. | th in | | |
| $2. \boxtimes$ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered by | ecause: | | | | |
| (a) X they raise new issues that would require furth | er consideration and/or search | (see NOTE below); | | | |
| (b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); | | | | | |
| (c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or | | | | | |
| (d) they present additional claims without cance | ling a corresponding number of | finally rejected clai | ms. | | |
| NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection. | | | | | |
| 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). | | | | | |
| 5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: _ | or reconsideration has been con | sidered but does N | OT place the | | |
| 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. | ecause it is not directed SOLELY | to issues which we | ere newly | | |
| 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims w | $\operatorname{nt}(s)$ a) \boxtimes will not be entered or be vould be rejected is provided be | o)□ will be entered low or appended. | and an | | |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows | : | | | | |
| Claim(s) allowed: | | | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: | | | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: 33-44 and 46-53. | | | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | | | |
| 8. The drawing correction filed on is a) ap | proved or b) disapproved by | the Examiner. | | | |
| 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme | ent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s). | · | _ | | |
| 10. Other: | L | Auf M | W | | |
| | | cm• | | | |



Continuation of 2. NOTE: Applicant has proposed to amend claim 40 to include new language "wherein said radiopaque particles to be individually visible during implantation are larger than said radiopaque particles for contrast.", which is a new issue that requires a new search and consideration.

BRUCE SNOW PRIMARY EXAMINER